## Encyclopedia of Sociology

## VOLUME 2

Edgar F. Borgatta

Editor-in-Chief University of Washington, Seattle

Marie L. Borgatta

Managing Editor
University of Washington, Seattle

MACMILLAN PUBLISHING COMPANY
New York

MAXWELL MACMILLAN CANADA
Toronto

MAXWELL MACMILLAN INTERNATIONAL
New York · Oxford · Singapore · Sydney
1992

HISTORICAL SOCIOLOGY Used as a category to identify social scientific research that constructs or illustrates theory by careful attention to culturally, geographically, and temporally located facts, historical sociology (or from the historian's vantage point, sociological history) exists as a self-conscious research orientation within both of its parent disciplines. Popular assertions in the 1950s and 1960s that history involved the study of particular facts while sociology involved the formulation of general hypotheses (see Lipset 1968, pp. 22–23; cf. Mills 1959, pp. 143–164) had turned around by the early 1980s, at which

time Abrams claimed that "sociological explanation is necessarily historical" (Abrams 1982, p. 2; see Burke 1980, p. 28).

Abrams's claim is true for much of sociological theorizing, and sociologists realize that seminal research in their discipline has been informed by careful attention to historical information. Nonetheless, fundamental differences exist between history and sociology regarding the choice of research strategies and methodologies. Historical research emphasizes the sociocultural context of events and actors within the broad range of human culture, and when examining events that occurred in early periods of the human record it borrows from archeology and cultural anthropology, two companion disciplines. Historians, therefore, who examine premodern material often borrow anthropological rather than sociological insights to elucidate areas where the historical record is weak, under the assumption that preindustrialized societies share basic similarities that sociological theory rarely addresses (Thomas 1963; 1971; cf. Thompson 1972). Historians are likely to choose research topics that are culturally and temporally delimited and that emerge "from the logic of events of a given place and period" (Smelser 1968, p. 35; see Bonnell 1980, p. 159). They tend to supplement secondary sources with primary texts or archival data (see Tilly 1981, p. 12).

In contrast, sociological research stresses theory construction and development, and its heavy emphasis on quantification limits most of its research to issues that affect societies after they begin to modernize or industrialize (and hence develop accurate record keeping that researchers can translate into data [see Burke 1980, p. 22]). Many of their methodological techniques—including surveys, interviews, qualitative fieldwork, questionnaires, various statistical procedures, and social-psychological experimentation—have little if any applicability to historians (Wilson 1971, p. 106). Given their orientation toward theory, sociologists are likely to choose research topics that are "rooted in and generated by some conceptual apparatus" (Smelser 1968, p. 35; Bonnell 1980, p. 159). Their data sources infrequently involve

archival searches (see Schwartz 1987, p. 12) or heavy dependence on primary texts. Sociologists seem more willing than historians both to "undertake comparative analysis across national and temporal boundaries" and to present generalizations that relate to either a number of cases or universal phenomena (as opposed to a single case [Bonnell 1980, p. 159]). Reflecting these basic differences, social history, which developed out of the historical discipline, concentrates on speaking about lived experiences, while traditional historical sociologists concentrate on analyzing structural transformations (Skocpol 1987, p. 28).

Although some historical sociological studies attempt either to refine concepts or rigorously to test existing theoretical explanations, more often they attempt "to develop new theories capable of providing more convincing and comprehensive explanations for historical patterns and structures" (Bonnell 1980, p. 161). When testing existing theories, historical sociologists argue deductively (by attempting to locate evidence that supports or refutes theoretical propositions), casecomparatively (by juxtaposing examples from equivalent units), or case-illustratively (by comparing cases to a single theory or concept [Bonnell 1980, pp. 162-167]). Both case comparisons and case illustrations can show either that cases share a common set of "hypothesized causal factors" that adequately explain similar historical outcomes or that they contain crucial differences that lead to divergent historical results (Skocpol 1984, pp. 378 - 379).

Sociology's founding figures—Marx and Engels, Weber, Tocqueville, and, to a limited degree, Durkheim—utilized history in the formulation of concepts and research agendas that still influence the discipline. In various works Marx and Engels demonstrated adroit sociohistorical skills, particularly in *The Eighteenth Brumaire of Louis Bonaparte* (Marx [1885] 1963) and *The Peasant War in Germany* (Engels 1870). In these studies they moved deftly among analyses of "short-term, day-to-day phenomena" of socio-political life, the underlying structure of that life, and "the level of the social structure as a whole" (Abrams 1982, p. 59) to provide powerful examples of historically ground-

ed materialist analysis (see Abrams 1982, p. 63; Sztompka 1986, p. 325).

Weber, who was steeped in ancient and medieval history of both the East and West, believed that through the use of heuristically useful ideal types, researchers could "understand on the one hand the relationships and cultural significance of individual events in their contemporary manifestations and on the other the causes of their being historically so and not otherwise" (Weber 1949, p. 72). However much contemporary researchers have faulted his Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism (1930) for misunderstanding Puritan religious traditions (MacKinnon 1988a, 1988b; Kent 1990) and interpreting them through preexisting philosophical categories (Kent 1983, 1985), it remains the quintessential example of his historically informed sociological studies (see Marshall 1982).

Variously assessed as a historian and a political scientist, Tocqueville also contributed to historical sociology with books that examined two processes -democratization (in the United States) and political centralization (in France)—that remain standard topics of historical sociological research (Tocqueville [1835] 1969, [1858] 1955; see Sztompka 1986, p. 325; Poggi 1972). Similar praise, however, for historical sensitivity has not always gone to a fellow Frenchmen of a later era, Emile Durkheim, whose concepts were scornfully called by one historical sociologist an "early form of ahistoricism'' (Sztompka 1986, p. 324). Bellah, nevertheless, asserted that "history was always of central importance in Durkheim's sociological work" (Bellah 1959, p. 153), and even argued that "at several points Durkheim went so far as to question whether or not sociology and history could in fact be considered two separate disciplines" (Bellah 1959, p. 154). Abrams's compromise interpretation may be most accurate; he acknowledges that Durkheim identified the broad process of the Western transition to industrialization, even though his "extremely general framework" demands specific historical elaboration (Abrams 1982, p. 32).

Despite the prominence of history within major studies by sociology's founding figures, subse-

quent sociologists produced few historically informed works until the late 1950s (cf. Merton 1938). Also during this period (in 1958) the historian Sylvia Thrupp founded the journal Comparative Studies in Society and History, and since then other journals have followed that are sympathetic to historical sociology (including Journal of Family History, Journal of Interdisciplinary History, Journal of Social History, Labor History, Social History, Social Science History, Journal of Historical Sociology, and Past and Present [Bart and Frankel 1986, pp. 114-116]). The output of interdisciplinary books continued growing throughout the 1960s. and by the 1970s "the sociological study of history achieved full status within the discipline" (Bonnell 1980, p. 157; see p. 156).

Four research areas that currently produce the most respected historical sociology studies include capitalist expansion, "the growth of national states and systems of states," collective action (Tilly 1981, p. 44), and the sociology of religious development.

Studies of capitalist expansion examine such topics as the emergence and consequences of the Industrial Revolution, the rise of the working class, population growth, and the developmental operations of the modern world system. Exemplary studies include Smelser's Social Change in the Industrial Revolution (1959) and Wallerstein's The Modern World System (1974). Basing his middlerange model upon Parsons's general theory of action, Smelser deduced a supposedly universal sequence through which all changes move that involve structural differentiation in industrializing societies (see Bonnell 1980, p. 162; Skocpol 1984, p. 363). He illustrated the applicability of his framework by drawing examples from the economic changes within the British cotton industry during the nineteenth century, followed by additional examples of changes to the lives and activities of workers in that industry. These historical facts, however, were secondary to the model itself.

Wallerstein borrowed from Marxism and functionalism to devise a "world system" theory of the global economy that purports to be universal in its interpretive and explanatory power. He argued

that after the late fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries, a "world economy" developed in which economically advantaged and politically strong areas called "core states" dominated other, economically nondiversified and politically weak "peripheral areas." Through "semi-peripheral areas" that serve as "middle trading groups in an empire," resources flow out of the peripheral areas and into the core states for capitalist development, consumption, and often export back to their areas of origin (Wallerstein 1974, pp. 348-350). Within this model Wallerstein mustered a phalanx of historical facts in order to demonstrate the emergence of the world economy above the limited events in various nation-states, and in doing so he "has promoted serious historical work within sociology" (Tilly 1981, p. 42).

E. P. Thompson's exemplary study (1963) took the sociological concept of "class" and presented its historical unfolding in England between 1780 and passage of the parliamentary Reform Bill in 1832 (Thompson 1963, p. 11). He argued that "the finest-meshed sociological net cannot give us a pure specimen of class. . . . The relationship [of class] must always be embodied in real people and in a real context" (p. 9). By the end of the era that he examined, "a more clearly-defined class consciousness, in the customary Marxist sense, was maturing, in which working people were aware of continuing both old and new battles on their own" (p. 712). His study stands among the finest examples of historically careful development of a sociological concept.

In contrast to the economic focus of issues involving capitalist expansion, studies of the growth of national states and systems of states examine political topics such as state bureaucratization, the democratization of politics, revolutions, and the interaction of nations in the international arena. Three heralded historical sociology studies in this genre are Eisenstadt (1963), Moore (1966), and Skocpol (1979). Eisenstadt studied twenty-two preindustrial states that had centralized, impersonal, bureaucratic empires through which political power operated. After a tightly woven and systematic analysis of compara-

tive social, political, and bureaucratic patterns, he concluded that "in any of the historical bureaucratic societies, their continued prominence was dependent upon the nature of the political process that developed in the society: first, on the policies of the rulers; second, on the orientations, goals, and political activities of the principal strata; and third, on the interrelations between these two" (Eisenstadt 1963, p. 362).

Moore's case studies of revolutions in England, France, the United States, China, Japan, and India sought "to understand the role of the landed upper classes and peasants in the bourgeois revolutions leading to capitalist democracy, the abortive bourgeois revolutions leading to fascism, and the peasant revolutions leading to communism" (Moore 1966, p. xvii). His own sympathies, however, lay in the development of political and social systems that fostered freedom, and he realized the importance of "a violent past" in the development of English, French, and American democracies (pp. 39, 108, 153). He concluded "that an independent nobility is an essential ingredient in the growth of democracy" (p. 417) yet realized that a nobility's efforts to free itself from royal controls "is highly unfavorable to the Western version of democracy," unless these efforts occur in the context of a bourgeois revolution (p. 418).

Skocpol scrutinized the "causes and processes" of social revolutions in France, Russia, and China "from a nonvoluntarist, structural perspective, attending to international and world-historical, as well as intranational, structures and processes." While doing so she moved "states—understood as potentially autonomous organizations located at the interface of class structures and international situations—to the very center of attention" (Skocpol, 1979, p. 33). She concluded that "revolutionary political crises, culminating in administrative and military breakdowns, emerged because the imperial states became caught in cross-pressures between intensified military competition or intrusions from abroad and constraints imposed on monarchical responses by the existing agrarian class structures and political institutions" (p. 285).

Virtually unnoticed by theorists of historical sociology is the growing number of studies that apply sociological categories and concepts to the emergence and development of historically significant religious traditions (see Swatos 1977). By doing so, these scholars have surpassed the traditional sociological and historical colleagues who limit their efforts primarily to political and structural issues, especially ones arising during the late eighteenth to twentieth centuries. Swanson (1960), for example, coded material on fifty hunting and gathering societies in an effort to connect religion and magic to social structure and subsequently analyzed relationships between constitutional structures and religious beliefs around the period of the Protestant Reformation (Swanson 1967). The emergence and early development of major religious traditions has received considerable sociological attention—for example, analyses of early Christianity as a social movement (Blasi 1988) and a millenarian movement (Gager 1975; see Meeks 1983, pp. 173-180; Lang 1989, p. 339). Concepts from sociological studies of modern sectarianism have informed historical studies of Mahayana Buddhism (Kent 1982) and Valentinian Gnosticism (Green 1982). Weberian examinations continue to influence historically grounded studies of numerous world religions including ancient Judaism (Zeitlin 1984), Islam (Turner 1974) and additional religious traditions from around the world (see Swatos 1990).

The historically grounded research in the sociology of religion, along with the works of Eisenstadt and others, suggests that future historical sociological studies will continue pushing beyond the confines of modern, macrosociological topics and into a wide range of premodern historical areas. Likewise, historical issues likely will become more consciously developed in microsociological studies (see Abrams 1982, pp. 227–266), and there will appear more sociologically informed historical examinations of cultural development (still exemplified by Elias 1978). Nonetheless, considerable macrosociological research still needs to be performed on historical issues affecting preindustrializing and third world countries as

well as on recent international realignments between forms of capitalism and communism.

(SEE ALSO: Comparative-Historical Analysis; Event History Analysis)

## REFERENCES

- Abrams, Philip 1982 *Historical Sociology*. Shepton Mallet, England: Open House.
- Bart, Pauline, and Linda Frankel 1986 The Student Sociologist's Handbook, 4th ed. New York: Random House.
- Bellah, Robert N. 1959 "Durkheim and History." American Sociological Review 24:447-461. Reprinted in Robert A. Nisbet, ed., Émile Durkheim, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1965.
- Bendix, Reinhard 1956 Work and Authority in Industry: Ideologies of Management in the Course of Industrialization. New York: Wiley.
- Blasi, Anthony J. 1988 Early Christianity as a Social Movement. New York: Peter Lang.
- Bonnell, Victoria E. 1980 "The Uses of Theory, Concepts, and Comparison in Historical Sociology." Comparative Studies in Society and History 22:156–173.
- Burke, Peter 1980 Sociology and History. London: George Allen and Unwin.
- Eisenstadt, S. N. 1963 The Political Systems of Empires. New York: The Free Press.
- Elias, Norbert 1978 The Civilizing Process. Vol. 1, The History of Manners. Edmund Jephcott, trans. New York: Urizen Books.
- Engels, Frederick [1870] 1926 The Peasant War in Germany. 2nd ed. Moissaye J. Olgin, trans. New York: International Publishers.
- Gager, John G. 1975 Kingdom and Community: The Social World of Early Christianity. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall.
- Green, Henry A. 1982 "Ritual in Valentinian Gnosticism: A Sociological Interpretation." Journal of Religious History 12:109–124.
- Kent, Stephen A. 1982 "A Sectarian Interpretation of the Rise of Mahayana." *Religion* 12:311-332.
- ——1983 "Weber, Goethe, and the Nietzschean Allusion." Sociological Analysis 44:297–319.

- Swatos, ed., Time, Place, and Circumstance: Neo-Weberian Studies in Comparative Religious History. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press.
- Lang, Graeme 1989 "Oppression and Revolt in Ancient Palestine: The Evidence in Jewish Literature from the Prophets to Josephus." Sociological Analysis 49:325-342.
- Lipset, Seymour Martin 1950 Agrarian Socialism: The Cooperative Commonwealth Federation in Saskatchewan. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- ———1968 "History and Sociology: Some methodological considerations." In Seymour Martin Lipset and Richard Hofstadter, eds. Sociology and History: Methods. New York: Basic Books.
- MacKinnon, Malcolm H. 1988a "Calvinism and the Infallible Assurance of Grace: The Weber Thesis Reconsidered." British Journal of Sociology 39:143— 177.
- ———1988b "Weber's Exploration of Calvinism." British Journal of Sociology 39:178–210.
- Marshall, Gordon 1982 In Search of the Spirit of Capitalism: An Essay on Max Weber's Protestant Ethic Thesis. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Marx, Karl (1885) 1963 The Eighteenth Brumaire of Louis Bonaparte. 3rd ed. New York: International Publishers.
- Meeks, Wayne A. 1983 The First Urban Christians: The Social World of the Apostle Paul. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Merton, Robert 1938 "Science, Technology, and Society in Seventeenth-Century England." Osiris 4:360-632. Reprinted with a new preface, New York: Harper Torchbooks, 1970.
- Mills, C. Wright 1959 The Sociological Imagination. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Moore, Barrington, Jr. 1967 Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Poggi, Gianfranco 1972 Images of Society: Essays on the Sociological Theories of Tocqueville, Marx, and Durkheim. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press.
- Schwartz, Mildred A. 1987 "Historical Sociology in the History of American Sociology." Social Science History 11:1–16.
- Skocpol, Theda 1979 States and Social Revolutions. London: Cambridge University Press.
- ———1984 "Emerging Agendas and Recurrent Strategies in Historical Sociology." In Theda Skocpol, ed., Vision and Method in Historical Sociology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- -----1987 "Social History and Historical Sociology:

Contrasts and Complementarities." Social Science History 11:17-30.

- Smelser, Neil, J. 1959 Social Change in the Industrial Revolution: An Application to of Theory to the British Cotton Industry. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- ———1968 Essays in Sociological Explanation. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Swanson, Guy 1960 The Birth of the Gods: The Origin of Primitive Beliefs. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- ——1967 Religion and Regime: A Sociological Account of the Reformation. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Swatos, William H., Jr. 1977 "The Comparative Method and the Special Vocation of the Sociology of Religion." Sociological Analysis 38:106-114.
- -----. ed. 1990. Time, Place, and Circumstance: Neo-Weberian Studies in Comparative Religious History. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press.
- Sztompka, Piotr 1986 "The Renaissance of Historical Orientation in Sociology." *International Sociology* 1:321–337.
- Thomas, Keith 1963 "History and Anthropology." Past and Present 24:3-24.
- ———1971 Religion and the Decline of Magic. New York: Scribner's.
- Thompson, E. P. 1972 "Anthropology and the Discipline of Historical Context." *Midland History* 1:41–55.
- Tilly, Charles 1981 As Sociology Meets History. New York: Academic Press.
- Tocqueville, Alexis de (1835) 1969 Democracy in America, 2 vols. George Lawrence, trans. London: Collins.
- ———(1858) 1955 The Old Regime and the French Revolution 4th ed. Stuart Gilbert, trans. Garden City, N. Y.: Doubleday.
- Turner, Bryan S. 1974 Weber and Islam: A Critical Study. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Wallerstein, Immanuel 1974 The Modern World System. New York: Academic Press.
- Weber, Max 1930 The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism. Talcott Parsons, trans. and ed. New York: Scribners.
- ———1949 The Methodology of the Social Sciences. E. Shils and F. Finch, trans. and ed. New York: Free Press.
- Wilson, B. R. 1971 "Sociological Methods in the Study of History." *Transactions of the Royal Historical Society* (5th ser.) 21:101–118.

Zeitlin, Irving 1984 Ancient Judaism: Biblical Criticism from Max Weber to the Present. New York: Polity Press. STEPHEN A. KENT